

Monica Kane, Cal. Bar #223261  
Jewish Family & Children's Services  
2534 Judah Street  
San Francisco, CA 94122  
Tel. (415) 449-2912  
Fax (415) 449-2901  
Email: monicak@jfps.org

Attorney for Vadim Furman

E-filing

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PVT

C 07 4363

Vadim Furman,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Department of Homeland Security, Michael  
Chertoff, Secretary; United States  
Citizenship and Immigration Services,  
Emilio T. Gonzalez, Director; United States  
Citizenship and Immigration Services,  
Rosemary Melville, District Director; US  
Attorney General, Alberto Gonzales;  
Federal Bureau of Investigation, Robert S.  
Mueller, III, Director

Defendants.

PETITION FOR HEARING ON  
NATURALIZATION APPLICATION  
PURSUANT TO 8 USC SECTION 1447(b)

USCIS Case No.: A 047 520 588

Plaintiff, by his attorneys, complaining of Defendants, alleges as follows:

1. Plaintiff is an individual and resident of the United States who resides in the jurisdiction of this Court. Plaintiff's claim to naturalization arises under 8 United States Code (hereinafter "USC") Section 1421.
2. Defendant Michael Chertoff is the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security (hereinafter "DHS"). This action is brought against him in his official capacity. He is

1 generally charged with enforcement of the Immigration and Nationality Act, and is  
2 further authorized to delegate such powers and authority to subordinate employees of  
3 DHS. 8 U.S.C. §1103(a); 8 C.F.R. §2.1.

- 4 3. Defendant Emilio T. Gonzalez is the Director of the United States Citizenship and  
5 Immigration Services (hereinafter "USCIS"), an agency within DHS to whom the  
6 Secretary's authority has in part been delegated and is subject to the Secretary's  
7 supervision. Defendant Director is generally charged with the overall administration of  
8 benefits and immigration services.
- 9 4. Defendant Rosemary Melville is the Director of the San Francisco District of USCIS.  
10 She is generally charged with supervisory authority over all operations of USCIS within  
11 her District, including the San Francisco and San Jose Field Offices, with specific  
12 exceptions not relevant here. 8 C.F.R. §100.2(d)(2)(ii).
- 13 5. Defendant Alberto Gonzales is the Attorney General of the United States. He is the  
14 head of the U.S. Department of Justice, which includes the Federal Bureau of  
15 Investigation (FBI).
- 16 6. Defendant Robert S. Mueller, III, is the Director of the FBI, the law enforcement agency  
17 that conducts security clearances for other U.S. government agencies, such as the  
18 Department of Homeland Security. As it will be shown, Defendant has failed to  
19 complete the security clearances on Plaintiff's case.
- 20 7. All Defendants are sued herein in their official capacities. Defendants from DHS and  
21 USCIS are responsible for the grant or denial of naturalization applications filed in the  
22 San Francisco USCIS district pursuant to 8 USC Sections 1421, 1427 and 8 Code of  
23 Federal Regulations (hereinafter "CFR") Sections 2.1, 103.1(b), 310.2, 316.3.  
24 Defendants from the Department of Justice are responsible for providing access to  
25 criminal history record information.

- 1 8. The Court has jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 8 USC Sections 1447(b) and 2201.
- 2 9. On or about January 19, 2006, Plaintiff filed his application for naturalization with
- 3 USCIS.
- 4 10. On or about June 6, 2006, Defendants and their designated agent examined Plaintiff on
- 5 his application at the USCIS San Jose Field Office.
- 6 11. At his examination, Defendants' agent told Plaintiff that he passed his examination but a
- 7 decision could not yet be made on the application because a background check was
- 8 pending.
- 9 12. Plaintiff has inquired about the status of his naturalization application through USCIS.
- 10 The inquiry responses confirmed that his application is pending due to security checks.
- 11 On July 20, 2007, Plaintiff's counsel sent a letter to the District Director and indicated
- 12 that Plaintiff would file this Petition unless his case was adjudicated within 30 days.
- 13 USCIS responded in writing, reiterating that the background checks remain pending.
- 14 13. Plaintiff understands the necessity of background checks, but he was examined over one
- 15 year ago and Defendants have made no decision on Plaintiff's application. Defendants
- 16 have been unable to provide any estimate as to when they will adjudicate the application
- 17 and most likely would allege that they cannot adjudicate it until the background checks
- 18 have been completed. It is Plaintiff's position that a year is more than a reasonable
- 19 amount of time in which to conduct background checks in this case. The FBI found no
- 20 records relating to him when Plaintiff requested a copy of his FBI file.
- 21 14. The Defendants' failure to make a determination of Plaintiff's application within 120
- 22 days after his examination allows Plaintiff to bring the matter to this Court for a hearing
- 23 pursuant to 8 USC Section 1447(b).
- 24 15. Plaintiff desires a judicial determination of his naturalization application and a
- 25 declaration that he is entitled to be naturalized as a citizen of the United States.

1 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that:

- 2 1. The Court will hear Plaintiff's case and render a declaratory judgment that he is entitled  
3 to be naturalized, and  
4 2. The Court grants such further relief as may be just, lawful and equitable to the premises.

5 Dated this 20<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2007

6 

7 Monica Kane  
8 Jewish Family & Children's  
9 Services  
10 2534 Judah Street  
11 San Francisco, CA 94122  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25